CAG POLICY BRIEF ISSUE 2026 | 5

Making the European Green Transition Work for Everyone: Addressing Social Inequalities in EU Climate Policy

KEY POINTS

1.Socially Unequal Implications: An analysis of EU climate policies reveals that they might have negative effects on people with limited financial resources and those suffering from energy poverty.

2.Challenges Related to the Social Climate Fund: The Social Climate Fund creates unequal conditions with respect to access to finance, national capacities to implement projects and reliance on a short-term assistance nature of the Fund.

3.Recommended Policies: Thus, it is important to work on increasing targeted financial support initiatives, focus on long-term energy efficiency improvements, and improve the monitoring of the implementation process.

Summary

The transition that the European Union is making towards becoming climate neutral is not only an environmental concern or an economic challenge but also an important issue in social policy. While the actions that reduce greenhouse gas emissions are a must in order to reach the climate goals set by the EU, the impact of these measures may hurt people on low income, people suffering from energy poverty, as well as those who face difficulties in affordable and environmentally friendly transport. If social protection is not guaranteed to everybody, the existing inequities may prevent people from supporting climate initiatives.

The Social Climate Fund of the EU was designed to resolve social issues that have emerged as a result of the new emissions trading system for buildings and transport. With a budget of €86.7 billion planned for 2026-2032, the Fund will help low-income people, transport users, and micro-enterprises because of temporary benefits and also long-term investments in energy efficiency, renovation of buildings, and the development of clean mobility. The presence of a specific funding mechanism is not enough to ensure a just transition. Such factors as differences in countries' administrative capabilities, the process of finding eligible beneficiaries, information access, and the availability of alternatives can make the process of obtaining help less efficient. Moreover, an approach that emphasizes short-term financial support while leaving structural investment aside can cause difficulties for vulnerable families that will have to shoulder the burden of energy and transport costs.

The goal of this policy brief is to identify how the Social Climate Fund can reduce the social inequalities caused by EU policies and how its implementation can be improved. The document emphasizes the importance of targeting beneficiaries better, investing in the long-term programs that allow people to lower their energy expenses, and establishing efficient monitoring systems for measuring the results of the programs and how fair they are regarding the groups involved.In conclusion, pursuing a fair green transition involves a more active engagement of social fairness in the implementation of EU climate strategies instead of just treating it as an auxiliary goal. If the Social Climate Fund is more effectively targeted, accessible and held accountable, the EU will be able to protect the disadvantaged while fulfilling its climate goals.



1. Limitations Relating to the Implementation of the Social Climate Fund

The Social Climate Fund initiated by the European Union is deemed as a significant tool in minimizing the social effects of the green transition, particularly in regard to the introduction of emissions trading in the construction and transport sectors. However, the efficiency of this Fund can be attributed not just to the financial means available but also to the distribution, access to and execution of the funds on the grounds of any member state.

Because each country has its own administrative capacity and mechanisms for identifying beneficiaries and raising public awareness, support can be differently accessible to the population, including the most vulnerable groups. In this case, an overreliance on the temporary financial support can alleviate the financial burden but not address the major reasons for energy poverty, namely the construction of inefficient houses, underdeveloped infrastructure and lack of affordable transport means. Therefore, national strategy featuring the social climate fund should not only implement immediate financial assistance but should also include investments in long-term solutions.

2. Unequal Distribution of the Costs of the Green Transition

The changes for achieving climate neutrality could disproportionately weigh on the economies of lower-income households, especially those facing energy poverty and relying on heavy-use systems for heating and transportation. Though EU climate change policy aims to curb greenhouse gases and foster sustainable development, it is unlikely that the costs it incurs throughout society will be equal across the board because households with limited financial means may struggle with investing in energy-efficient devices, improving the insulation of their structures or switching to cleaner and cheaper means of transport. Therefore, policies that are intended to further environmental sustainability could end up aggravating the existing social and economic inequality in the society if the supporting systems are not put in place. Additionally, existing inequalities could hinder public acceptance of climate measures particularly if people think that transition is unfair from the economic standpoint.

3. The Need for More Inclusive and Accountable Climate Policies

For the EU to achieve a green transition that is socially equitable, it has to move from merely compensating households for the increasing costs, to allowing vulnerable groups to participate in and benefit from the transition in itself. This implies that the climate policy has to be designed with consideration for the circumstances and realities of various income groups, geographical communities, and households with limited access to sustainable alternatives.

The EU could enhance this policy by better targeting the allocation of financial aid, broadening access to low-cost building renovation programs, and fostering the development of affordable public transportation system. At the same time, transparent monitoring and accountability mechanisms must be used to determine whether the public money reaches its target beneficiaries and brings visible improvements in living conditions. Member States can be encouraged to report on such indicators as the amount of money spent on energy by households, energy poverty levels, availability of sustainable mobility options, and the distribution of financial aid among income groups. Including such social indicators in the evaluative measure of climate policies would allow the EU to detect the gaps in implementation of the set policies and revise the measures accordingly.

Recommendations:

1.Enhance Targeted Financial Aid for Marginalized Households

The EU should enhance targeting and access for financial support through the Social Climate Fund with a focus on low-income families, energy poor people and those with limited access to affordable transport. Member States should create clear eligibility criteria and simplify the application process, so that help reaches those who face the biggest impact of the price of transitioning to a greener economy. In particular, rural and poor households should be kept in mind as they have less access to sustainable means of transport.

2. Put More Emphasis on Long-Term Investments Rather Than Short-Term Aid

The European Commission and the Member States should focus more on structural measures that minimize the long-term risks of energy and transportation costs to households. Renovation of buildings, insulating, installing energy-efficient heating systems, and providing low-cost public transport should supplement short term financial aid. The steps taken would address the root causes of energy poverty, which would make households less reliant on financial assistance and would help Europe achieve its climate goals.

3. Build Improved Monitoring and Accountability Systems

There should be a strengthening of the monitoring systems that determine how effective the Social Climate Fund is across different EU Member countries. There should be regular reporting on aspects such as energy poverty as well as household energy expenses and access to sustainable transport. The European authorities can use the data to learn about implementation problems, improve funding mechanisms, and suggest necessary policy changes to ensure accountability and efficiency while making sure that the green transition is socially beneficial too.

Key Idea to Stress Out

The green transition of the EU ought to be both environmentally sustainable as well as socially just. The success of the Social Climate Fund is dependent not only on how much money is invested but also on the financial assistance actually reaching those in need and whether the reasons for energy poverty are addressed and improvements in living conditions can be actually measured. It is critical to ensure social equity is accounted for in climate policy for the costs of transition to be evenly distributed, public trust to be preserved and the EU's climate targets to be economically feasible and socially fair.

References :

1. European Commission. (2023). Regulation (EU) 2023/955 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 10 May 2023 establishing a Social Climate Fund. EUR-Lex. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2023/955/oj/eng

2. Nill, J., Crucitti, F., Spooner, M., & Varga, J. (2025). The distributional impact of EU climate and energy policies on households and possible mitigation measures (European Economy Discussion Paper No. 229). European Commission, Directorate-General for Economic and Financial Affairs. https://economy-finance.ec.europa.eu/publications/distributional-impact-eu-climate-and-energy-policies-households-and-possible-mitigation-measures_en

3. Bonfanti, M., Jarosz, E., & Tuchmann, A. (2025). Social impacts in the EU energy transition: What are the distributional effects of European energy policies? Institute for European Environmental Policy (IEEP). https://ieep.eu/publications/social-impacts-in-the-eu-energy-transition-distributional-effects-of-european-energy-policies/

4. European Commission. (n.d.). Energy poverty. Directorate-General for Energy. https://energy.ec.europa.eu/topics/markets-and-consumers/energy-consumers-and-prosumers/energy-poverty_en

5. European Commission. (2023). Economic and distributional effects of higher energy prices on households in the EU. Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion. https://employment-social-affairs.ec.europa.eu/economic-and-distributional-effects-higher-energy-prices-households-eu_en

6. European Commission. (2026). Key figures on European living conditions: 2026 edition. Eurostat. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/

